

Somatic Orienting & Grounding Choice Sheet — Page 1

Session decision aid: capacity checks, one grounding/orienting option, titration limit, and client response.

Client / ID: _____ Date: _____ Clinician: _____

Presenting theme in client words (no graphic detail):

1. Present signal (check primary)

- | | |
|---|---|
| ☐ Thin orientation / time loss | ☐ Hyperarousal (panic, urge to flee) |
| ☐ Hypoarousal / shutdown | ☐ Workable range; one body cue available |
| ☐ Child/teen needs co-regulation | ☐ Other (name on line below) |

Other / notes:

2. Capacity checks

- | | |
|--|---|
| ☐ Can leave a cue and return to the room | ☐ Body sensation is NOT a primary threat cue now |
| ☐ Enough time remaining for safe closure | ☐ Consent current for this dose of body work |
| ☐ Co-regulation support available if needed | ☐ No acute risk requiring safety-first plan |

Finding that changes the plan (if any):

3. Option selected (choose ONE)

- | | |
|--|--|
| ☐ External orienting (room/feet/object/light/sound) | ☐ Known resource (image/relationship/steadier area) |
| ☐ Brief interoception (one small cue, seconds only) | ☐ Co-regulation (therapist/caregiver-supported) |
| ☐ Stop body-focused work; stabilize and reassess | ☐ Return later after external orientation holds |

Exact action in client-friendly language:

4. Titration limit

Time cap (seconds / minutes):

Intensity stop rule (what ends the exercise):

Client stop word or signal:

- | | |
|---|--|
| ☐ Vacant/darting gaze | ☐ Speech fragments or disappears |
| ☐ Freeze / collapse / blank compliance | ☐ Panic climb or urge to flee |
| ☐ Shame spiral / self-attack | ☐ Child still-silly-clingy out of range |

Somatic Orienting & Grounding Choice Sheet — Page 2

Client response, developmental notes, documentation scaffold, and next-session review.

5. Client response (what changed)

Orientation / gaze / room contact:

Breath / posture / movement:

Emotion or urge shift:

Ability to choose next step:

Any titration cue that appeared:

6. Developmental / family notes (if relevant)

- | | |
|---|--|
| ☐ Child: kept concrete and brief | ☐ Teen: protected dignity and choice |
| ☐ Caregiver role defined (prompt/model, not interrogate) | ☐ Between-session plan is external only |
| ☐ No body-scan homework assigned | ☐ School / home support considered |

Support person role in one sentence:

7. Progress-note scaffold (minimum necessary)

Use short clinical labels. Prefer observable response over private trauma narrative in shared charts and unsecured files.

Signal and capacity read:

Option chosen and why:

Titration limit / stop cue:

Client response:

Next-session review question:

8. Next-session review

- | | |
|--|--|
| ☐ Keep the same option | ☐ Shrink the dose further |
| ☐ Change door (external / resource / interoception) | ☐ Stay in co-regulation longer |
| ☐ Pause body work; stabilize first | ☐ Consult / training / higher care considered |

First question next visit:

Clinician reflection (optional):

Scope reminder

This sheet supports trauma-informed, Somatic Experiencing-informed grounding and orienting within the clinician license and competence. It is not a certification, not a full SE protocol, and not self-administered trauma processing. Match every dose to the client response you observe.